



CITY OF REDMOND
APPEAL APPLICATION FORM

(Staff Use Only)
File No:
Date Received RECEIVED
CITY OF REDMOND
SEP 28 2015
OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER

This appeal application form is for appeals of Technical Committee and Hearing Examiner decisions only.

Do not use this form if you are appealing a decision on a:

- Shoreline Permit
- Shoreline Variance
- Shoreline Conditional Use Permit
- Hearing Examiner decision on a SEPA appeal
- City Council approval or denial

Appeal Applications may be delivered to the Office of the City Clerk-Finance/Hearing Examiner by email, mail, personal delivery or by fax before 5:00 P.M on the last day of the appeal period.

City of Redmond Office of the City Clerk-Finance/Hearing Examiner Contact Information:

Mailing Address:
Office of the City Clerk/
Hearing Examiner
P.O. Box 97010, 3NFN
Redmond, WA 98073

Physical Address:
City Hall, 3rd Floor
15670 NE 85th Street
Redmond, WA 98052

Phone: 425-556-2191
Fax: 425-556-2198
Email: cdxanthos@redmond.gov
Web: <http://www.redmond.gov>

Appeals of City Council decisions may be appealed to Superior Court by filing a land use petition which meets the requirements set forth in RCW Chapter 36.70C. The petition must be filed and served upon all necessary parties as set forth in State law and within the 21-day time period as set forth in RCW Section 36.70C.040. Requirements for fully exhausting City administrative appeal opportunities must be fulfilled.

Section A. General Information

Name of Appellant: LEONARD STEINER

Address: 13239 NE 100th

City: KIRKLAND State: WA Zip: 98033 Email: _____

Phone: (home) 425-827-7478 (work) _____ (cell) _____

What is your relationship to the project?

- Interested Citizen Project Applicant Government Agency



CITY OF REDMOND
APPEAL APPLICATION FORM

(Staff Use Only)

File No: _____
Date Received: _____

Name of project that is being appealed: FELLSWORTH 8 LOTS SHORT PLAT (HEATHER ALDRE SUTR)
File number of project that is being appealed: LAND 2014-01966
Date of decision on project you are appealing: SEP 28
Expiration date of appeal period: OCT 5, 2015

Please choose the applicable appeal:

- Appeal to the Hearing Examiner of a Technical Committee Decision
- Appeal to City Council of a Hearing Examiner decision on an appeal
- Appeal to City Council of a Hearing Examiner decision on an application

Pursuant to the Redmond Zoning Code, only certain individuals have standing to appeal a decision on application or appeal. Below, please provide a statement describing your standing to appeal. (Please review the back page to determine if you have standing to appeal.)

ATTENDED ALL PUBLIC HEARINGS
LIVE NEXT TO PROPERTY

Section B. Basis for Appeal

If you are appealing a Technical Committee Decision, please fill out items 1, 2, and 3 **only**. If you are appealing a Hearing Examiner's decision on an application, or a Hearing Examiner's decision on an appeal, you only need to fill out item 4 below. Attach additional sheets if necessary.

1. Please state the facts demonstrating how you are adversely affected by the decision (attach additional sheets as necessary):

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS



CITY OF REDMOND
APPEAL APPLICATION FORM

(Staff Use Only)

File No: _____
Date Received: _____

2. Please provide a concise statement identifying each alleged error and how the decision has failed to meet the applicable decision criteria (attach additional sheets as necessary):

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

3. Please state the specific relief requested (attach additional sheets as necessary):

PROVIDE RELS
REDUCE THE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS

4. Please provide a written statement of the findings of fact or conclusions (as outlined in the Hearing Examiner's decision) which are being appealed (attach additional sheets as necessary):

SEE ATTACHED SHEET.



CITY OF REDMOND
APPEAL APPLICATION FORM

(Staff Use Only)

File No: _____
Date Received:

Standing to Appeal

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE DECISIONS

For appeals of a Technical Committee Decision on a Type I or II permit, the project applicant or any person who submitted written comments (party of record) prior to the date the decision was issued may appeal the decision. The written appeal must be received by the City of Redmond's Office of the Hearing Examiner no later than 5:00pm on the 14th calendar day following the date of the decision by the Department.

HEARING EXAMINER DECISION ON APPEALS OF TECHNICAL COMMITTEE DECISIONS

For appeals of a Hearing Examiner Decision on an Appeal of a Technical Committee Decision, the project applicant, any person who participated in the public hearing as provided in RZC 21.76.060, or the City may appeal.

HEARING EXAMINER DECISIONS

For appeals of a Hearing Examiner Decision, the project applicant, any person who participated in the public hearing as provided for in RZC 21.76.060, or the City may appeal.

THE SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT WAS CREATED TO PROTECT STREAMS. STREAMS ARE DEFINED AS SENSITIVE AREAS, SETBACK WERE ESTABLISHED TO PROTECT THESE STREAMS. BUILDING AND LOTS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED IN THESE SETBACK AREAS. IN FACT I BELIEVE THAT NUMBER OF BUILDINGS NEAR SENSITIVE AREAS SHOULD BE LIMITED, THE G.M.A. SAYS ALLOW MAX DEVELOPMENT BUT THIS QUANTITY SHOULD BE REDUCED OR HALVED. ~~IN~~ FEW SENSITIVE AREAS.

THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT WAS CREATED TO ALLOW CITIES TO DETERMINE THE VALUE OF PROPERTY TO WILDLIFE, IN THIS CASE HISTORICALLY, THE PROPERTY WAS USED BY DEER, COYOTE, RACONS, OPASUNS, DOUGLAS SQUIRRELS AND NUMEROUS BIRD SPECIES. THE DEVELOPER REMOVED TWO LARGE DEAD SNAGS THAT WERE HOME TO AT LEAST 4 BIRD WOODPECKER SPECIES, AN E.I.S. SHOULD STILL BE PREPARED ON THIS SITE.

AN ENVIRONMENTALIST SHOULD BE HIRED TO EVALUATE TREES, RATHER THAN AN ARBORIST, WILDLIFE VALUE OF TREES IS DIFFERENT THAN LIVING OR DEAD. 3 COTTONWOOD AND 7 CEDARS IN THE PATH OF PROPOSED 133rd ST SHOULD BE RETAINED AS WILDLIFE TREES. ALL TREES IN THE CORRIDOR OF THE STREAM ARE PROTECTED THROUGH THE S.M.A.

ALL OF THE PROPERTIES TO THE WEST
OF THIS LAND DRAIN ONTO THIS LAND.
ALL ARE ON SEPTIC, PLUS STORM WATER,
THIS PROPERTY HAS TRADITIONALLY BEEN
A WETLAND, IT SUPPORTS MANY FROG
SPECIES ~~SPECIES~~ AND DRAGONFLYS AND I WOULD GUESS
SALAMANDERS.

THE DEVELOPER WAS ALLOWED TO INSTALL
A SEWER LINE ON THE PROPERTY AND FILL
IT IN WITH GRAVEL (ROCKS) IN AN ATTEMPT
TO DRAIN THE LAND. I DON'T THINK IT
WORKED.

THE PROPERTY NEEDS AN FE IS AN
THE CITY NEEDS TO ADDRESS ALL THESE
ISSUES.

Leonard Steens

9/28/15